

Mokelumne Collaborative Group (MCG) Meeting #20 Summary

April 10, 2015

Organizations represented

Amador County	North San Joaquin Water Conservation District
Amador Water Agency	San Joaquin County
Calaveras County	San Joaquin County Resource Conservation District
Calaveras Planning Coalition	San Joaquin Farm Bureau
Calaveras Public Utility District	Sierra Club, SF Bay Chapter
California Sportfishing Protection Alliance	Stockton, City of
Delta Fly Fishers, Inc.	Stockton East Water District
East Bay Municipal Utility District	Upper Mokelumne River Watershed Authority
Foothill Conservancy	Woodbridge Irrigation District
Jackson Valley Irrigation District	
Lodi, City of	
MyValleySprings.com	

Key Decisions

- Final decision made on Implementation Plan projects (see Section IV for more information).
- Authority given to project workgroups to make a final determination regarding the assigned project and its inclusion in the Implementation Plan.

Action Items

- RMC: make discussed changes to Institutional Arrangements Memorandum.
- RMC: make discussed changes to project scopes.
- MCG Project Workgroups: meet to discuss projects and come to consensus.

Summary

I. March Meeting Summary and Brief Update

Meeting #19 (March 2015) summary was approved by consensus and will be posted onto the public portion of the website.

RMC provided an update on the fourth public meeting, held April 9th, 2015 at the San Joaquin Farm Bureau. In attendance were six members of the public. The meeting included discussion of the MCG's progress and next steps, including that the MCG was working through determining which projects would be included in the implementation plan.

To date, there have been no comments received on the policies and initiatives. Comments are due by Friday April 17th; the MCG will be discussing endorsement of these policies and initiatives at the May MCG meeting.

Project 8a (Jeff Davis Water Treatment Plant Replacement Feasibility Study) has been removed from the project list because that study has already been completed.

RMC reviewed the schedule for the remainder of the project.

II. Institutional Arrangements

RMC provided an overview of the Institutional Arrangements workgroup process for determining a proposal for the MCG to consider. The workgroup's proposal was presented, which included an MOU between UMRWA and the GBA to support project implementation and a stakeholder workgroup and public workshops for stakeholder coordination and public outreach.

The MCG approved the workgroups proposal with two edits. RMC will incorporate these edits, which include being more specific about who the signatories of the MOU are and allowing individual members of the public to be members of the stakeholder group.

III. Amador Calaveras Consensus Group (ACCG) Presentation

The ACCG provided an overview of the Group, including its history, mission, and current projects. This presentation will be posted to the protected portion of the website pending approval from the ACCG.

IV. Preliminary Engineering (Expanded Project Descriptions)

RMC reviewed the goal and process for the meeting, including that the MCG needed to decide which projects would be included in the Implementation Plan. Projects included in the Implementation Plan are projects that are generally

supported and that the institutional structure will focus on funding projects in the Implementation Plan.

RMC reviewed the two list approach. List 1 includes projects which are supported by the full MCG, that is, projects that all MCG members agree should be included in the Implementation Plan. List 2 projects are projects that are supported by an overwhelming majority of the MCG, but that have some remaining issues that are articulated. Projects which are not included in List 1 or List 2 are not a part of the Implementation Plan.

Projects 1g (Soil Restoration), 7d (Storage Reoperation), 7b (Raise Lower Bear), 7f (Blue and Twin Lakes), 4a (Groundwater Banking), and 7a (Storage Recovery) were discussed at length and live edits were made where needed. RMC recorded these edits and other comments and will incorporate them as directed by the MCG. The following table summarizes the MCG’s final decision on each of the projects:

Project	Final MCG Decision
1a: Re-Introduction of Fall Run Chinook Salmon Upstream of Pardee Reservoir	List 1
1b: High County Meadow Restoration Program	List 1
1c: Mokelumne River Day Use Area Floodplain Habitat Restoration Project	List 1, few small edits from the San Joaquin County Resource Conservation District
1d: Fish Screens for Riparian Diversions in the Lower Mokelumne	List 1, add Lower Mokelumne River Stewardship Council
1f: Riparian Restoration Program – Below Camanche	List 1, included real time edits
1g: Mokelumne Water Quality, Soil Erosion, and Sedimentation Inventory/Monitoring	List 1, use version agreed upon by workgroup
2a: Municipal Recycled Wastewater Recharge Program	List 1
2b: Constellation Winery Wastewater Reuse	List 1

2c: Amador County Regional Reuse	List 1, include district-wide financial planning language
4a: Groundwater Banking within the Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater Basin	Workgroup to meet the week following the meeting
4b: Amador and Calaveras Counties Hydrologic Assessment	List 1
4d: NSJWCD Infrastructure Improvements	List 1
5a: Regional Urban Water Conservation Program	List 1
5b: Regional Agriculture Conservation Program	List 1, San Joaquin Country Resource Conservation District would like to have their interests include in interested statement; if any changes to environmental interests, a call will be required to discuss
7a: PG&E Storage Recovery	Workgroup to meet the week following the meeting
7b: Raise Lower Bear Reservoir Feasibility Update and Preliminary Engineering	List 1, include pertinent universal changes from 7d
7d: Re-operation of Existing Storage	List 1, included real time edits
7f: Reliability and Replacement Assessment for Dams at Blue and Twin Lakes	Workgroup to meet the week following the meeting
8b: Rehab of Transmission Main	List 1
8c: Barney Way Septic System Conversion	List 1
8d: Lake Camanche Village Recycled Water Project	List 1

For all projects that required further work by a workgroup, the MCG gave authority to those workgroups to make a final determination regarding the project and its inclusion in the Implementation Plan.

V. Draft Climate Change Section

This was pushed back to allow more time for discussing the expanded project descriptions. The draft section will be included and presented to the MCG in the draft Final Plan in May.

VI. Wrap-Up and Action Items

None.